

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	NO. CR13-5457BHS
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	ORDER GRANTING UNOPPOSED
vs.)	MOTION TO CONTINUE TRIAL
)	DATE AND PRETRIAL MOTIONS
DAVID AMBRIZ CRUZ, JR.,)	DUE DATE
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

Based on the unopposed motion of defense to continue the trial date, the Court makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

1. The ends of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).

2. Proceeding to trial absent adequate time for the defense to prepare would result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(i).

3. The defense needs additional to explore all relevant issues and defenses applicable to the case, which would make it unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or for trial itself within the time limits established by the Speedy Trial Act and currently set for this case. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).

4. Taking into account the exercise of due diligence, a continuance is necessary to allow the defendant the reasonable time for effective preparation of his defense, and to conduct a competency hearing on July 10, 2014. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

1 NOW, THEREFORE,

2 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the trial date is continued to July 22, 2014. The
3 period of delay from the date of this order up to and including July 22, 2014, is hereby
4 excluded for speedy trial purposes under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B).

5 Pretrial motions are due by June 24, 2014; Pretrial Conference is set for July 14,
6 2014 at 1:30 p.m.

7 DONE this 10th day of June, 2014.

8
9
10 

11 BENJAMIN H. SETTLE
12 United States District Judge

13 Presented By:

14
15
16 /s/ Colin Fieman
17 Colin Fieman
18 Attorney for Defendant
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26